

Result Demonstration/Applied Research Report

2003 Tom Green County Cotton Harvest Aid Demonstration Cooperator: Chris Bubenik

Rick Minzenmayer, Extension Agent - IPM for Runnels and Tom Green Counties and Dr. Billy Warrick, Extension Agronomist (San Angelo, Texas)

Summary

Twenty-two harvest aid treatments were applied to Deltapine 424 BG/RR cotton on October 20, 2003 to prepare the crop for harvest. The plot was established on Chris Bubenik's Farm, seven miles north of Wall, Texas. The chemicals were applied to irrigated cotton that had 80 percent of its bolls open. Leaf shed was less than one percent and the cotton plant leaves were still green in color. All applied treatments resulted in a significant level of leaf defoliation when compared to the untreated checks. New plant growth was minimal for the 21 days the plot was evaluated. The regrowth that was developing should not interfere with harvesting and ginning.

Objective

In the Southern Rolling Plains of Texas, cotton is usually planted starting in mid-May. Because of this late planting date, many producers do not use harvest aids to terminate the cotton. When growing conditions are favorable, most of the cotton in this area is ready for harvest thirty days before the first killing freeze. The delay in harvest reduces the income of farmers due to the loss of lint yield and fiber quality. Even though the cost of several of the harvest aid treatments are expensive, there is usually a product that is economically justified that can be used effectively for crop termination. The intent of this field test is to: 1) determine the effectiveness of harvest aids at defoliating, desiccating, and opening bolls on cotton, 2) provide producers the opportunity of observing how effectively the harvest aid materials work, and 3) determine the economic feasibility of using the harvest aid material.

Chris Bubenik's Cotton Harvest Aid Test Tom Green County, 2003 Page 2

Materials and Methods

Cooperating Producers: Chris Bubenik
Location: 7 miles north of Wall

Crop Production Information:

Planting Date: May 14, 2003

Planting Rate: 11.0 pounds per acre
Variety Planted: Deltapine 424 BG/RR
Planting Pattern: Solid on 40 inch spacing

Herbicide Applied: Prowl was applied preplant incorporated in the Fall of 2002 at

3.0 pints per acre, followed by 16 ounces of Direx plus 16

ounces of Caparol applied broadcast at planting.

Number of Irrigations: 4 applications during the growing season (24 acre inches)
Insecticides Applied: 7 ounces of Orthene 90 applied in-furrow at planting
Fertilizer Applied: Fall 2002, applied 10 tons of cattle manure per acre.

Additionally, 100 pounds of 46-0-0 was applied prior to the first

irrigation. At planting, 4 ounces of PGR-4 was applied.

Harvest Aid Application Information:

Date Applied: October 20, 2003 Row Pattern: 40 inch rows planted solid

Wind Speed: 2 to 5 mph Irrigation: Yes

Wind Direction: South Plot Design: 13.33 ft X 60 ft replicated 3 times

(randomized)

Water Applied: 16 Gallons Per Acre

Boom Height: 40 inches

Air Temperature: 78 to 88⁰ Fahrenheit Pressure: 32 pounds per square inch

Relative Humidity: 40 to 50% Ground Speed: 4 mph

Nozzles: one 11002 Air Induction over the top of row, one 8002 flat fan nozzle on a 9 inch

drop on each side of the row.

Time of Day:

FMC test established from 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. Nichino test established from 2:00 p.m. to 3:45 p.m. Syngenta test established from 4:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Test Design: Randomized complete block design replicated 4 times

Harvest Aid Application Information (followup application):

Date Applied: October 28, 2003
Time of Day: 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Wind Speed: 5 to 7 miles per hour

Wind Direction: South

Air Temperature: 82 to 84⁰ Fahrenheit

Relative Humidity: 55 to 60%

Carrier: 16.0 gallons of water per acre

Pressure, Nozzle Arrangement, Boom Height was the same as shown on October 20.

Plant Information

Date information was collected: September 20, 2003

Average Height: 36 inches

Average number of bolls above top cracked boll: 3

Percent open bolls: 80

Number of plants per acre: 52,000

At the time of application, plant health was excellent. The upper most cotton bolls were cross-

sectioned and the seed coats were dark and the cotyledons were well developed.

Weather Information

Rainfall and air temperature information was obtained from the National Weather Service–San Angelo, Texas.

Rainfall Information (Date and Amount)

October 6	0.42 inch	November 2	0.01 inch
October 8	0.53 inch	November 5	0.24 inch
October 9	1.32 inches	November 6	0.09 inch
October 11	0.96 inch	November 7	0.09 inch
October 12	0.09 inch	November 8	0.30 inch
October 26	0.06 inch		
Total October Rainfall	3.38 inches	November Rainfall	0.73 inch

Maximum and Minimum Air Temperatures for October 20 - November 9, 2003

Date	Max Air	Min Air	Date	Max Air	Min Air	Date	Max Air	Min Air
20	84	50	27	73	41	3	81	66
21	85	49	28	79	44	4	82	65
22	89	51	29	82	46	5	65	49
23	88	51	30	81	58	6	49	40
24	85	49	31	81	57	7	43	38
25	68	48	1	79	65	8	47	41
26	54	43	2	82	67	9	54	46

Data Collection:

An area in each treatment was marked to make ratings on the percent open bolls, percent defoliation, percent desiccation, and regrowth in the top and bottom portion of the plants. A rating system was used to reflect the growth of new leaves in the top and bottom portion of the plants within each marked area. A copy of the regrowth rating system used is attached. The information collected on October 27, November 3 and November 10 are reported in Tables 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Chris Bubenik's Cotton Harvest Aid Test Tom Green County, 2003 Page 4

Results and Discussion

The First Seven Days

During the first two weeks of October, 3.32 inches of rain was received on the plot. No rain was received seven days prior to the establishment of the test plot.

On the previous page is a table that indicates the maximum and minimum air temperature during the 21 days these products were evaluated. From October 20 to October 26, daytime air temperatures ranged from 54 to 89 degrees Fahrenheit and the night temperatures ranged from 43 to 51 degrees. On October 26, 0.06 inch of rain fell on the plot. When the plots were evaluated on October 27, there was a significant difference in the percent defoliation, percent desiccation and the amount of regrowth in the top and bottom portion of the cotton plants. The data collected is summarized in Table 1.

The percent of open bolls increased by 5 to 10 percent in the first week but no significant difference was determined between treatments.

All treatments had significantly more leaf defoliation than the check. Most of the ET treatments had significantly less defoliation than the best Gramoxone Max treatments. The ET and Aim treatments had less regrowth in the top and bottom portion of the cotton plant than the Gramoxone Max plots.

The Second Week (October 27 - November 2, 2003)

Hourly daytime air temperature ranged from 73 to 82 degrees Fahrenheit. The nighttime temperatures ranged from 41 to 67 degrees. Only 0.01 inch of rain was recorded during the week and it fell on November 2. The followup applications were applied on September 28. On November 3 when the plots were evaluated, there was a significant difference in the percent defoliation, percent desiccation and the amount of regrowth in the top and bottom portion of the cotton plant. Regowth in the top of the plant was desiccated by several of the followup applications and this delayed regrowth development for several days. The data collected is summarized in Table 2.

The amount of boll opening ranged from 85 to 91.67 percent, which is an increase of 0 to 5 percent from the seven day evaluation.

The increase in leaf defoliation was noticeable across the entire test plot and the highest increase was seen in the ET treatments which had some increases as much as 40 percent. In the treated areas, defoliation ranged from 58 to 85 percent. At the time of this evaluation, enough leaves had been lost by the plant to keep the leaf rating of ginned cotton in the range of 1 to 3.

Most of the plots that had a followup treatment applied had significantly more leaf desiccation than plots that did not. Also, all plots that had a followup treatment applied had significantly less top regrowth than plots that did not. Regrowth was developing slowly even in the Gramoxone Max treated plots. None of the plots had enough regrowth develop to cause a problem with harvest or ginning.

Table 1. Chris Bubenik's 2003 FMC, Nichino, and Syngenta Cotton Harvest Aid Test (Tom Green County) October 27, 2003 (7 days after initial treatments were applied)

		11	,			
Harvest Aids Applied	Rate Applied Per Acre	% Open Bolls (7 DAT)	% Defoliation (7 DAT)	% Desiccation (7 DAT)	Regrowth Rating Top (7 DAT)	Regrowth Rating Bottom (7 DAT)
Aim + C.O.C followed by Aim + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 1% v/v	88.25	45.00 abcde	5.00 bc	0 c	0 c
Aim + Prep + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 16 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	88.25	47.50 abcde	1.50 bc	0 c	0 c
Aim + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1 oz. + 5.33 oz. + 1% v/v	87.50	58.33 abc	7.00 bc	0 c	0 c
Check		85.00	5.00 f	0.00 c	0 c	0 c
Aim + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	86.25	45.00 abcde	4.50 bc	0 c	0 c
Gramoxone Max + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	10.67 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	85.0	48.75 abcde	3.25 bc	0 c	0 c
Appeal + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	0.6 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	86.25	47.50 abcde	5.00 bc	0 c	0 c
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	23.33 ef	1.67 bc	0.33 b	0.33 b
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	2.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	85.00	28.33 def	0.67 c	0 c	0 c
ET + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	2.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	86.67	33.33 cde	1.67 bc	0 c	0 c
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 21.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	50.00 abcde	0.67 c	0 c	0 c
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	1.4 oz. + 21.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	85.00	43.33 abcde	1.67 bc	0 c	0 c

ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 10 oz. + 1% v/v	85.00	33.33 cde	0.33 c	0 c	0 c
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 8 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	36.67 bcde	0.00 c	0.33 b	0.33 b
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 6 oz. + 1% v/v	85.00	36.67 bcde	1.67 bc	0 c	0 c
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 10 oz. + 1% v/v	85.00	25.00 ef	0.00 c	0 c	0 c
ET + Prep + Roundup WeatherMAX + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 12 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	85.00	33.33 cde	0.67 c	0 c	0.33 b
Resource + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	4 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00	58.33 abc	7.33 bc	0 c	0 c
Aim + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	88.33	58.33 abc	6.33 bc	0 c	0 c
Check		85.00	5.00 f	0.00 c	0 c	0 c
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	8.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	86.67	53.33 abcd	6.33 bc	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	10.67 oz. + 0.25% v/v	86.67	66.67 a	4.67 bc	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	85.00	61.67 ab	10.67 ab	1 a	1 a
Check		85.00	5.00 f	0.00 c	0 c	0 c
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	4.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	86.67	45.00 abcde	5.33 bc	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	21.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	90.00	63.33 ab	16.67 a	1 a	1 a

NOTE: In Table 1 the individual or combination of letter a, b, c, d, e, or f shown below the number are to indicate statistical significance. There is no statistical difference between numbers that have the same letter (even when there appears to be a large difference in results between the materials applied).

Table 2. Chris Bubenik's 2003 FMC, Nichino, and Syngenta Cotton Harvest Aid Test (Tom Green County) November 3, 2003 (14 days after initial treatments were applied / 6 days after followup treatments)

	ays after findar treatm	<u>-</u>	record and the		- F	- /
Harvest Aids Applied	Rate Applied Per Acre	% Open Bolls (14 DAT)	% Defoliation (14 DAT)	% Desiccation (14 DAT)	Regrowth Rating Top (14 DAT)	Regrowth Rating Bottom (14 DAT)
Aim + C.O.C followed by Aim + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 1% v/v	88.25	70.00 abcd	16.25 abc	0.25 bc	0.50 b
Aim + Prep + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 16 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	88.25	78.75 ab	10.00 bcd	0.25 bc	0.50 b
Aim + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1 oz. + 5.33 oz. + 1% v/v	87.50	75.00 abcd	5.75 cd	0.25 bc	0.50 b
Check		85.00	20.00 e	0.00 d	0 c	0 c
Aim + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	86.25	78.75 ab	12.50 abcd	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	10.67 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	87.50	70.00 abcd	10.25 bcd	0.75 ab	1 a
Appeal + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	0.6 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	88.75	85.00 a	8.75 bcd	1 a	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	60.00 cd	13.67 abcd	1 a	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	2.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	76.67 abc	4.00 cd	0.33 abc	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	2.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	90.00	71.67 abcd	10.00 bcd	1 a	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 21.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	75.00 abcd	9.33 bcd	0 c	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	1.4 oz. + 21.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	90.00	83.33 a	8.33 bcd	0.67 abc	1 a

ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 10 oz. + 1% v/v	88.33	65.00 bcd	3.33 cd	0 c	0.67 ab
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 8 oz. + 1% v/v	88.33	81.67 ab	9.00 bcd	0.33 abc	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 6 oz. + 1% v/v	86.67	78.33 ab	6.33 cd	0.67 abc	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 10 oz. + 1% v/v	88.33	76.67 abc	5.00 cd	0.33 abc	1 a
ET + Prep + Roundup WeatherMAX + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 12 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	85.00	68.33 abcd	3.33 cd	0.33 abc	1 a
Resource + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	4 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	91.67	78.33 ab	10.67 bcd	0.33 abc	1 a
Aim + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00	76.67 abc	10.00 bcd	0.67 abc	1 a
Check		85.00	21.67 e	0.00 d	0 c	0 c
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	8.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	88.33	58.33 d	4.33 cd	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	10.67 oz. + 0.25% v/v	88.33	70.00 abcd	4.00 cd	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	90.00	75.00 abcd	21.33 ab	1 a	1 a
Check		85.00	21.67 e	0.00 d	0 c	0 c
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	4.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	90.00	81.67 ab	10.00 bcd	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	21.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	91.67	72.67 abcd	24.67 a	1 a	1 a

NOTE: In Table 2 the individual or combination of letter a, b, c, d, or e shown below the number are to indicate statistical significance. There is no statistical difference between numbers that have the same letter (even when there appears to be a large difference in results between the materials applied).

Chris Bubenik's Cotton Harvest Aid Test Tom Green County, 2003 Page 9

The Third Week (November 3 - November 9, 2003)

Hourly daytime air temperature ranged from 43 to 82 degrees Fahrenheit. The nighttime temperatures ranged from 38 to 66 degrees. The cooler temperatures through most the week slowed the plants response to harvest aids. During the week, 0.72 inch of rain was received. Total cloud cover occurred for four of the seven days. The followup applications had been applied for 13 days. On November 10 when the plots were evaluated, there was a significant difference in the percent open bolls, percent defoliation, percent desiccation, and the amount of regrowth in the top and bottom portion of the cotton plant. The data collected is summarized in Table 3.

The amount of boll opening ranged from 85 to 95 percent, which is an increase of 0 to 5 percent from the 14 day evaluation. All treatments had significantly more boll opening than the check. Def at 8 ounces plus Prep at 21 ounces followed by Gramoxone Max at 21 ounces plus NIS at 0.25 % v/v had significantly more boll opening than three of the plots where treatments were applied.

Leaf defoliation increased from 12 to 31 percent and all treatments had significantly more leaf defoliation than the check. ET at 1.4 ounces plus Prep at 21 ounces plus 1% v/v of Crop Oil Concentrate followed by Gramoxone Max at 16 ounces plus 0.25% v/v of Non-Ionic Surfactant treatment and Aim at 1.0 ounce plus Gramoxone Max at 10.67 ounces plus 1% v/v of Crop Oil Concentrate had significantly more leaf defoliation than four of the treatments in the test.

Even though there was significant differences between the treatments in the amount of regrowth developing in the top and bottom portion of the cotton plants, none of the regrowth was at a level that would impact harvest efficiency at the time of the 21 day evaluation. None of the treatments had enough regrowth to cause a problem during the ginning process.

The remaining area of the field that had not had harvest aids applied was ready to be sprayed. Several of the treatments used in this test could be selected and used successfully to prepare the crop for harvest. It was impressive to see the level of defoliation and regrowth suppression provided by many of the harvest aids in this test. I look forward to having these products available in the future for use as harvest aids in our region.

Economics

For 2003, we had a wet September and most of 100,000 acres of cotton needs to have a harvest aid applied by mid-November. During October most acreage received over 3 inches of rain and it has kept producers from harvesting cotton in a timely manner. A loss of lint yield is obvious on most cotton acreage that was planted in May and lint quality has been effected. A loss of 4 to 7 cents per pound could occur because of the weather related delay. It is important to remember that a higher lint yield is not the only way of increasing profit from the use of a harvest aid. Other factors include: timely harvest, improved fiber quality, improved harvesting efficiency, and higher percent lint turnout at the gin.

Table 3. Chris Bubenik's 2003 FMC, Nichino, and Syngenta Cotton Harvest Aid Test (Tom Green County) November 10, 2003 (21 days after initial treatments were applied / 13 days after followup treatments)

	days after findar treati		Tr		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	/
Harvest Aids Applied	Rate Applied Per Acre	% Open Bolls (21 DAT)	% Defoliation (21 DAT)	% Desiccation (21 DAT)	Regrowth Rating Top (21 DAT)	Regrowth Rating Bottom (21 DAT)
Aim + C.O.C followed by Aim + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 1% v/v	91.25 ab	90.00 abc	3.00 cd	0.25 ab	1 a
Aim + Prep + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 16 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	92.00 ab	89.75 abc	4.00 cd	0.75 a	1 a
Aim + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1 oz. + 5.33 oz. + 1% v/v	91.25 ab	86.50 bcd	3.50 cd	0.75 a	1 a
Check		85.00 d	40.00 e	0.00 d	0 b	0 c
Aim + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	92.50 ab	92.75 ab	3.25 cd	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	10.67 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00 bc	90.00 abc	2.25 cd	0.75 a	1 a
Appeal + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	0.6 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	92.50 ab	92.50 ab	4.75 cd	1 a	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00 bc	91.00 abc	4.0 cd	1 a	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	2.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00 bc	91.67 abc	2.33 cd	0.33 ab	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	2.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	91.67 ab	93.33 ab	2.33 cd	1 a	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 21.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	91.67 ab	90.67 abc	3.33 cd	0 b	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	1.4 oz. + 21.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	93.33 ab	95.33 a	1.67 d	0.67 ab	1 a

ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 10 oz. + 1% v/v	93.33 ab	90.00 abc	1.33 d	0.33 ab	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 8 oz. + 1% v/v	91.67 ab	93.00 ab	3.30 cd	0.67 ab	1 a
ET + Prep + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 6 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00 bc	92.00 ab	2.00 d	1 a	1 a
ET + C.O.C. followed by ET + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.0 oz. + 10 oz. + 1% v/v	91.67 ab	90.33 abc	3.00 cd	0.33 ab	1 a
ET + Prep + Roundup WeatherMAX + C.O.C. followed by ET + C.O.C.	1.4 oz. + 16.0 oz. + 12 oz. + 1% v/v followed by 1.4 oz. + 1% v/v	90.00 bc	92.67 ab	1.33 d	0.67 ab	1 a
Resource + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	4 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	91.67 ab	92.33 ab	2.67 cd	0.33 ab	1 a
Aim + Gramoxone Max + C.O.C.	1.0 oz. + 10.67 oz. + 1% v/v	93.33 ab	94.33 a	2.00 d	0.67 ab	1 a
Check		85.00 d	40.00 e	0.00 d	0 b	0 c
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	8.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	91.67 ab	84.67 cd	3.33 cd	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	10.67 oz. + 0.25% v/v	90.00 bc	82.67 d	2.00 d	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	93.33 ab	88.33 abcd	11.33 a	1 a	1 a
Check		86.67 cd	40.00 e	0.00 d	0 b	0.33 b
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S. followed by Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	4.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v followed by 16.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	91.67 ab	89.33 abc	7.67 bc	1 a	1 a
Gramoxone Max + N.I.S.	21.0 oz. + 0.25% v/v	95.00 a	87.00 bcd	13.00 a	0.67 ab	1 a

NOTE: In Table 3 the individual or combination of letter a, b, c, d, or e shown below the number are to indicate statistical significance. There is no statistical difference between numbers that have the same letter (even when there appears to be a large difference in results between the materials applied).

Acknowledgments

I want to take this opportunity to thank:

- Chris Bubenik for his help in plot establishment and management.
- FMC Corporation for supporting harvest aid research conducted in the Trans-Pecos and Southern Rolling Plains areas of Texas.
- Nichino America Incorporated for supporting harvest aid research conducted in the Trans-Pecos and Southern Rolling Plains areas of Texas.

I would also like to thank the companies that provided the chemicals for this harvest aid test, these included:

- Bayer CropScience who provided the Prep
- FMC Corporation who provided the Aim
- K-I Chemical USA Inc. who provided the Appeal
- Monsanto Company who provided the Roundup WeatherMAX
- Nichino America Incorporated who provided the ET
- Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. who provided the Gramoxone Max
- UAP Southwest who provided the Activator 90 (non-ionic surfactant) and Herbimax (crop oil concentrate)
- Valent U.S.A. Corporation who provided the Resource

Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.