



## **Result Demonstration/Applied Research Report**

---

### **2005 EL PASO COUNTY STACKED COTTON VARIETY DEMONSTRATION**

**Cooperator: Kenneth Carr, Borderland Farms, Ft. Hancock**

Sarah McKenzie Downing, EA-IPM, El Paso County, El Paso, Texas

Dr. Raymond Bader, CEA-Ag, El Paso County, El Paso, Texas

Dr. Billy E. Warrick, Professor and Extension Agonomist, San Angelo, Texas

#### **SUMMARY**

Ten cotton varieties were planted to compare fiber yield and quality characteristic under similar irrigated production conditions. Deltapine 454 BR and FiberMax 989 B2R were the highest yielding varieties in this test. FiberMax 960 BG/RR had the highest loan value at 55.32 cents per pound. This is only one years result and continued testing is recommended before making a significant switch to a new variety.

#### **PROBLEMS**

Several new varieties of cotton become available each year and when combined with the varieties already available makes planting seed selection increasingly difficult. Producers need local data to help in selecting adapted high yielding varieties with desirable fiber quality traits. Higher strength and longer staple are the primary fiber quality characteristics they are looking for.

#### **OBJECTIVE**

With improved varieties being introduced each season, testing is a necessary part of any farming operation. This field test was established to compare new and traditional varieties. The main focus will be to find those varieties that provide high lint yield with desirable fiber traits. Since some varieties have a limited success within a narrow range of production conditions, local testing is necessary and justified. This test will allow area producers to determine if new varieties being introduced are more productive than what they currently planting. Also, it will provide area producers with the opportunity to examine the differences in plant development between the old and new varieties.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cooperator: Kenneth Carr, Borderland Farms, Ft. Hancock

County Precinct:

Planting Date: April 21, 2005

Planting Rate: 13 pounds per acre

Planting Pattern: Solid 40 inch rows

Previous Crop: Cotton

Irrigation:

Fertilizer:

Herbicide:

Insecticide:

Soil Type: Silty Clay Loam

Harvest Date: October 31, 2005

Two to three weeks after planting the varieties were visually rated for vigor. At the one- to four-leaf stage stand counts were made within each plot. Fields were monitored on a weekly basis through the IPM scouting program to document plant growth and insect activity.

The test plots were picker harvested to determine the yield per acre. A five pound sample of seed cotton was ginned at the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station in Lubbock to determine the percent turnout of lint and seed. A sample of the ginned cotton was taken to the International Textile Center in Lubbock to have fiber properties determined using a HVI classing machine.

## **RESULTS, DISCUSSION AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS**

The lint yields in this test ranged from 876 to 1155 pounds per acre. Deltapine 454 BR had a significantly higher yield than three of the varieties in the test. However, it had the shortest fiber length, and the lowest mic and strength resulting in the lowest loan value. FiberMax 989 B2R topped the test on gross return due to yield and fiber qualities.

FiberMax 960 B2R had the highest loan value at 55.32 cents per pound. However, it was significantly lower on lint and seed production resulting in a lower gross income per acre.

As you look at Table 1 on the next page, you will see that no variety topped all categories which would have made it easy to select the top variety. However, several varieties performed well in most categories and would be worth testing on a five acre plot on the farm to see how it performs under your management. Remember that this is only one years result and continued testing is recommended before making a significant switch to a new variety.

Borderland Farms' Irrigated Stacked Cotton Variety Test  
El Paso County, 2005  
Page 3

Table 1. Data from Borderland Farms' 2005 Irrigated Cotton Variety Test (El Paso County)

Variety	Yield Per Acre				Fiber Quality						CCC Loan Value	Lint Gross Return (\$/acre)	Seed Gross Return (\$/acre)	Total Gross Return (\$/acre)
	In Pounds		% Turnout		Color- Leaf	Fiber		Strength (gram/tex)	Uniformity					
	Lint	Seed	Lint	Seed		Length (staple)	Mic							
FiberMax 989 B2R	1096 ab	1799 a	34.1	56.0	412	36 a	3.7 bc	29.5 a	80.9 a	55.30	605.64	89.94	695.58	
Deltapine 455 BR	1080 ab	1414 bc	38.5	50.4	311	35 bcd	3.5 def	27.8 abc	79.5 bc	54.17	584.07	70.69	654.75	
Stoneville ST 4575 BR	1084 ab	1581 ab	35.5	51.9	412	34 de	4.0 a	26.1 c	80.7 ab	52.97	574.88	79.07	653.95	
Deltapine 454 BR	1155 a	1550 abc	36.3	48.7	412	33 e	3.4 f	26.1 c	80.0 abc	49.35	569.58	77.49	647.07	
Deltapine 449 BR	1023 ab	1578 ab	35.4	54.7	411	35 abc	4.0 a	29.3 a	81.1 a	54.48	557.66	78.92	636.59	
Deltapine 488 BR	959 ab	1418 bc	35.4	52.5	412	36 a	3.8 abc	28.8 ab	80.3 ab	54.65	524.27	70.92	595.19	
FiberMax 991 B2R	958 ab	1515 abc	35.4	56.0	412	36 ab	3.5 ef	29.0 a	79.7 abc	53.55	512.85	75.77	588.62	
FiberMax 960 B2R	906 b	1379 bc	34.5	52.7	412	36 ab	3.7 bc	29.3 a	80.1 abc	55.32	501.93	68.97	570.89	
Deltapine 05X648DR	913 b	1264 c	37.9	52.6	412	34 cd	3.7 cd	27.2 bc	78.9 c	52.90	482.93	63.21	546.15	
Stoneville ST 6636 BR	876 c	1369 bc	34.8	54.4	412	36 ab	3.9 ab	27.8 abc	81.1 a	54.48	477.51	68.45	545.96	

Note: 1) A cottonseed price of \$100 per ton was used for income calculation.  
2) In Table 1 the individual or combination of letter a, b, c, d, e or f shown below the number are to indicate statistical significance. There is no statistical difference between numbers that have the same letter (even when there appears to be a large difference in results between the varieties).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Kenneth Carr with Borderland Farms for establishing and managing this demonstration.

Also, we would like to thank the seed companies who donated the cottonseed for this test.

---

The information given herein is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Cooperative Extension Service is implied.

---