

# **Result Demonstration Report**

2007 Runnels County Wild Oat Control Demonstration in Wheat Cooperator: Lowell Freeman

Rick Minzenmayer, Extension Agent - IPM for Runnels and Tom Green Counties Marty Gibbs, Runnels County Extension Agent -- Agriculture; and Dr. Billy Warrick, Extension Agronomist (San Angelo, Texas)

# Summary

Eighteen treatments were applied over the top of wheat on March 2, 2007 to control Wild Oats. The plots were established on the Lowell Freeman farm located at Hatchell, Texas on the west side of Highway 83 in Runnels County. The herbicides were applied to Wild oats that were in the one to four leaf stage. Soil moisture at the time of application was good and the targeted weeds were growing rapidly. The number of Wild oat plants averaged 25 per square foot at the time the chemicals were applied. Wild oat control ranged from 10 to 99 percent.

# Problem

Wild oat (*Avena fatua*) is a weed of roadside, ditches and other areas of moist, disturbed soil. It is an introduced, cool-season annual. Wild oat is leafy and palatable to livestock but short-lived and seldom abundant enough to provide significant amounts of forage. This weed has encroached the past few years from roadside to the fields. Competing with the wheat for moisture and nutrients resulting in lower grain yields. Also, with the contamination of harvested grain with wild oat causes a considerable price dockage for producers.

# Objectives

Through the use of a field test: 1) determine the effectiveness of herbicides at controlling the weed, 2) provide producers the opportunity of observing how effectively the herbicides control the weed, and 3) determine the economic feasibility of applying the herbicides for weed control.

Wild Oat Control Test Runnels County 2007 Page 2

#### **Materials and Methods**

| Cooperator:    | Lowell Freeman                             |
|----------------|--|
| Location:      | West side of Highway 83 at Hatchell, Texas |
| Variety:       | WinMaster                                  |
| Planting Date: | November 11, 2006                          |

Herbicide Application Information:

| Date Applied:        | March 2, 2007                                   |
|----------------------|---|
| Wind Speed:          | 3.0 to 7.0 miles per hour                       |
| Wind Direction:      | North by Northeast                              |
| Air Temperature:     | 47 to 61 <sup>0</sup> Fahrenheit                |
| Relative Humidity:   | 11 to 24%                                       |
| Carrier:             | 16.0 gallons of water per acre                  |
| Pressure:            | 32 pounds per square inch                       |
| Nozzle Size:         | 11002 Air Induction on 20 inch centers          |
| Boom Height:         | 12 inches                                       |
| Ground Speed:        | 3.00 miles per hour                             |
| Application Device:  | Self propelled rig with 13.3 foot boom          |
| Plot Size:           | 13.3 feet X 50 feet                             |
| Time Applied:        | 10:00 a.m. until 2:15 p.m.                      |
| Test Design:         | Replicated (3 times), Randomized Complete Block |
| Test Plot Evaluated: | Final Evaluation May 17, 2007                   |

At the time of application the wheat was three to four inches tall and most plants had five to six leaves. The Wild Oats were in a three to four leaf stage and actively growing with approximately 25 plants per square foot.

Evaluations: The plot was evaluated three times. The first evaluation was to determine the amount of chemical injury to the wheat; none was found in any treatment. The second evaluation was conducted on March 26 and the Axil and Puma treatments had a high level of wild oat control. The final evaluation was conducted on May 17 to determine the percentage of Wild Oat control that is reported in Table 1. The percentage of weed control was determined in all 57 plots. Data collected was analyzed using a statistical program called SAS and treatment differences were based on Duncan's mean separation.

|      | Max | Min |      | Max | Min |
|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|
| Date | Air | Air | Date | Air | Air |
| 1    | 64  | 35  | 9    | 80  | 50  |
| 2    | 64  | 26  | 10   | 86  | 46  |
| 3    | 55  | 29  | 11   | 67  | 51  |
| 4    | 62  | 20  | 12   | 71  | 52  |
| 5    | 71  | 22  | 13   | 78  | 48  |
| 6    | 74  | 30  | 14   | 79  | 52  |
| 7    | 80  | 35  | 15   | 80  | 53  |
| 8    | 80  | 42  | 16   | 67  | 46  |

Maximum and Minimum Air Temperatures for March 1 - March 16, 2007

# **Results and Discussion**

This test was established later than usual because Wild Oats were emerging late. Temperatures were ideal for plant growth for two days prior to applying the chemical. At the time the herbicides were applied wind speeds stayed within an acceptable range, and the air temperature was comfortable. Relative humidity was low and reduced the amount of time the spray droplets remained on the leaf surface. Also, other conditions existed at the time the herbicides were applied that should improve performance; good soil moisture, weeds growing rapidly and in the desired growth stage. After the herbicides were applied the night temperatures stayed lower than desired for five days.

The Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. herbicide Axial, achieved a high level of wild oat control as long as it was mixed with the surfactant Adigor. In this test the level of control was reduced by 50 percent by leaving Adigor out of the tank mix. In this test the Axil herbicide had the wild oats completely killed by 14 days after application. Data collected from the test is reported in Table 1.

The DuPont company herbicide Finesse Grass and Broadleaf, achieved a high level of wild oat control at the 0.9, 0.75 and 0.60 ounce per acre rates. Even though there was no statistical difference between the rates their was visibly less wild oats at the higher rates.

The Bayer Company herbicide Osprey, did not achieve the level of wild oat control expected. This has been one of the best herbicides in plots the last two years. I am not sure that the cold temperatures following application was the problem. I have had less control with the herbicide Puma when applied under these conditions. The level of control using 56 ounces of Hoelon was the same as the best herbicide treatments in the plot. But this rate of Hoelon was for test purposes and 14 ounces more per acre than the highest labeled rate.

Until recently Puma was the only herbicide without a grazing restriction. For the producers in a dual purpose system that limited the herbicide selection to Puma only. With Osprey and Finesse Grass and Broadleaf allowing for livestock grazing shortly after application, two additional herbicides are now available to producers.

# Economic Analysis

The high levels of Wild oat control should result in higher yields due to the weed competition being removed. Wild oats competes with the wheat for moisture and nutrients and can reduce wheat grain yield by more than 10 bushels per acre. If the wheat is for grazing only the control of Wild oats would be hard to justify since it is a productive useful forage plant. By controlling the Wild oats, in small grain being harvested for grain, you avoid dockage at the Elevator. In most years the loss of income from the dockage on the grain was more than the cost of herbicide and its application.

# Acknowledgements

A word of thanks is given to Lowell Freeman for providing the test plot site and his support. Also, a word of thanks to the chemical companies that provided products and support for the test plot.

Axial provided by Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Axiom, Hoelon, Olympus Flex, Osprey and Puma provided by Bayer Company Finesse Grass and Broadleaf provided by DuPont Maverick provided by Monsanto Induce provided by Helena Chemical Company

# Wild Oat Control Test Runnels County 2007 Page 4

| Table 1.  | Information Collected from W | Vild Oat Control Test (Runnels County, 2007 | ') |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|----|
| Evaluatio | on conducted on May 17, 2007 | 7   |    |

|   | %<br>Wild Oat |
|---|---------------|
| Herbicide and rate per acre   | Control       |
| Axil @ 8.2 oz. + Adigor (adjuvant) @ 9.6 oz.                                    | 99 a          |
| Axil @ 8.2 oz. + Adigor (adjuvant) @ 9.6 oz. + Fertilizer (28-0-0)              | 99 a          |
| Axil @ 8.2 oz. + Adigor (adjuvant) @ 9.6 oz. + Amber @ 2.8 oz.                  | 82 a          |
| Axil @ 8.2 oz. + Fertilizer (28-0-0)  | 33 efg        |
| Finesse Grass and Broadleaf @ 0.90 oz. + 0.5% v/v Induce (Non Ionic Surfactant) | 90 a          |
| Finesse Grass and Broadleaf @ 0.75 oz. + 0.5% v/v Induce (Non Ionic Surfactant) | 85 ab         |
| Finesse Grass and Broadleaf @ 0.60 oz. + 0.5% v/v Induce (Non Ionic Surfactant) | 78 abc        |
| Hoelon @ 56 oz. + 0.5% v/v Induce (Non Ionic Surfactant)                        | 80 abc        |
| Puma @ 10.8 oz.   | 63 bcd        |
| Olympus Flex @ 3.5 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)                    | 63 bcd        |
| OSPREY @ 4.75 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)                         | 57 cde        |
| OSPREY @ 4.75 oz. + Amber @ 0.28 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)      | 47 def        |
| OSPREY @ 4.75 oz. + Axiom @ 10.0 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)      | 37 efg        |
| OSPREY @ 3.5 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)                          | 37 efg        |
| OSPREY @ 3.5 oz. + Amber at 0.28 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)      | 27 fgh        |
| Axiom @ 10.0 oz. + Hoelon @ 32 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)        | 43 def        |
| Axiom @ 10.0 oz. + 21 oz. Hasten (Methylated Seed Oil)                          | 17 ghi        |
| Maverick @ 0.66 oz. + 0.5% v/v Induce (Non Ionic Surfactant)                    | 10 hi         |
| Check   | 0 c           |

Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.