



## Result Demonstration/Applied Research Report

---

2004 Tom Green County  
Chaperone Field Test  
Cooperator: Chris Bubenik

Richard Minzenmayer, Extension Agent - IPM for Runnels and Tom Green Counties  
Steve Sturtz, Tom Green County Extension Agent--Agriculture  
Dr. Billy Warrick, Extension Agronomist (San Angelo, Texas)

### Summary

Three rates of Chaperone were applied to Stoneville ST5599 BG/RR cotton on July 19 to determine the impact of the product on cotton yield. There was no significant difference in lint yield or seed yield at the 0.05 level. Numerically there is a 294 pound lint difference between the check and the 20 ounce application rate of Chaperone. However, due to the variability between the three replications, to state there is a difference is incorrect.

### Problem

A new product called Chaperone is manufactured in Japan by the Asahi Chemical Company and distributed worldwide by Arysta LifeScience Company of Tokyo, Japan. In the United States Chaperone sales are overseen by Agrivert, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary company of Arysta LifeScience). The company is promoting Chaperone as a unique product containing three nitrophenols. Nitrophenols are known to increase photosynthetic electron transport, improve and protect membrane integrity, act as a part of lignin bio-synthesis, increase fruit retention and increase enzyme/protein production within plants.

Since no test work has been conducted in West Central Texas with this product applied research activities were needed to provide producers with information concerning the usefulness of the product for our region.

## Objective

Through the use of a field test: 1) determine the benefit of Chaperone in cotton production in West Central Texas, 2) provide producers the opportunity of observing what impact Chaperone has on cotton plant development, and 3) determine the economic feasibility of using Chaperone.

## Materials and Methods

Cooperating County Producer: Chris Bubenik  
Location: 7 miles north of Wall, Texas

### Application Information:

Date Applied:	July 19, 2004
Time of Application:	9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.
Wind Speed:	2 to 4 miles per hour
Wind Direction:	South
Air Temperature:	74 to 80 <sup>o</sup> Fahrenheit
Relative Humidity:	85 to 90%
Spray Volume	11.5 gallons per acre
Pressure:	32 p.s.i.
Application Device:	Self Propelled Spray Rig
Ground Speed:	3.5 m.p.h.
Nozzle:	11002 Air Induction Flat Fan on 20 inch centers
Boom Height:	32 inches
Plot Size:	13.33 feet wide by 70 feet long
Test Design:	Randomized complete block design with three replications
Cotton:	24 inches tall and unstressed
Cotton variety:	Stoneville ST 5599 BG/RR

## Results and Discussion

The purpose of this test was to determine if Chaperone applied to cotton grown in West Central Texas would result in an increase in lint and seed production. Applications of Chaperone at 5, 10 and 20 ounces per acre were applied and compared to an untreated check.

Visibly there were no difference between plots. If the plot had not been staked it would have been impossible to harvest this plot to collect production data. Numerically there is a difference in lint yield between the untreated check and the treatments where Chaperone was applied. Statistically there was too much variability between the three replications to vary a difference. Using Duncan's Multiple Range mean separation test there is no difference between treatments at the 0.05 level. Data collected from the plot at the end of the production season is reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Data collected from Chris Bubenik's Chaperone Field Test (Tom Green County, 2004)

Treatment	Cost of Chaperone Per Acre	Percent Seed Turnout	Seed Yield Per Acre (pounds)	Percent Lint Turnout	Lint Yield Per Acre (pounds)
Untreated Check	\$0.00	49.2	3664	28.0	2086
5 ounces of Chaperone per acre	\$4.00	48.7	3509	32.7	2356
10 ounces of Chaperone per acre	\$8.00	49.3	3669	27.7	2061
20 ounces of Chaperone per acre	\$16.00	51.8	3681	33.5	2380

### Economics

Since there is no statistical difference in yield then additional income from applying the Chaperone didn't occur. If you were selling the product you would point out the 294 pound per acre difference in lint and ignore the science behind statistical analysis of replicated plots. Either way, additional testing will be needed to satisfy all interested individuals.

### **Acknowledgments**

I want to take this opportunity to thank Chris Bubenik for his help in plot establishment and management.

I would also like to thank Agrivert, Inc. for providing Chaperone for this test.

Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.