

Result Demonstration/Applied Research Report

2003 Cotton Variety Test

Cooperator: Jeff Upshaw Fisher County

Steve Estes, Fisher County Extension Agent - Agriculture Dr. Billy E. Warrick, Extension Agronomist (San Angelo, Texas)

Summary

Two varieties of cotton were planted June 19, 2003 by Jeff Upshaw on his farm 3 miles west of Roby. All varieties in the test were conventional varieties. This test was established to monitor any yield and turnout differences between new conventional varieties.

Objective

Due to the increased interest in genetically modified cotton, primarily for the control of problem weeds, conventional varieties are becoming less popular. Farmers in Fisher County have planted conventional varieties for many years and have great knowledge of production levels. As more genetically modified varieties appear on the market, conventional cotton appears to be moving to the wayside, although there are many new varieties developed every year. A field test established in Fisher County would allow producers the opportunity of observing yields of some newer conventional varieties, possibly proving that money can be saved by reverting back to these varieties. Taking the plots to harvest would provide producers information on yield and fiber quality.

A field test was established in Central Fisher County with two conventional varieties that have recently been placed on the market.

Materials and Methods

Cooperators: Jeff Upshaw

Plot Location: 3 miles west of Roby

Crop Production Information:

Planting Date: June 19, 2002

Planting Rate: 12.5 pounds per acre

Planting Pattern: 2 X 2 X 2 X 1, 40" spacing

Herbicide Applied: Prowl, at the rate of 1 pt/acre was applied during planting

Insecticides Applied: None Fertilizer Applied: None

Results and Discussion

The cotton variety test established by Jeff Upshaw provided very useful information to producers. The desired cotton emergence was achieved in seven days after planting. Weed competition was kept to a minimum by the herbicide program used by the producer.

Table 1. Agronomic Data from Jeff Upshaw's Cotton Variety Test (Fisher County, 2003)

	Fiber Quality											
	Yield Per Acre										Lint	Seed
	In Pounds		% Turnout			Fiber				CCC	Gross	Gross
					Color-	Length		Strength		Loan	Return	Return
Variety	Lint	Seed	Lint	Seed	Leaf	(staple)	Mic	(gram/tex)	Uniformity	Value	(\$/acre)	(\$/acre)
Raider 271	824	1595	26.8	51.8	311	38	4.5	29.3	82.7	55.60	458.38	99.69
Raider 202	525	980	25.6	47.7	411	38	4.9	30.9	83.4	54.75	287.70	61.25

NOTE: 1) Yield was determined by hand sampling three areas in each variety

2) Gross return per acre for cottonseed was based on a sale price of \$125 per ton

Economic Analysis

Let me stress that looking at the total gross return can be deceiving is selecting varieties from one test. Year to year variation and differences in plots and production practices make a difference. The variability between the samples collected showed significant difference in yield only between the top and bottom variety. It is recommended that producers look at tests conducted in the region for the last 2 to 3 years and from ten or more field tests and find a variety that is in the upper third. Those selected varieties can then be tested on your farm under your production practices to determined if increased acreage of that variety is justified. Most of the varieties in this test have a fiber quality that is desired by the buyers with high strength, length, and uniformity.

Acknowledgements:

I want to take this opportunity to thank Jeff Upshaw for establishing and managing this cotton variety test.

A word of appreciation is extended to the following seed company for providing seed for this plot:

! Associated Farmers Delinting, Inc.

Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.